Generalising the Astrometric Uncertainty Function in the Era of the Rubin Observatory's LSST

Tom J Wilson (he/him) and Tim Naylor t.j.wilson@exeter.ac.uk University of Exeter



NAM2021, 22/Jul/21



Photometric Observations



WISE - Wright et al. (2010)

WISE W1 Tom J Wilson @onoddil



Photometric Observations



WISE - Wright et al. (2010) TESS - Ricker et al. (2015) TESS T Tom J Wilson @onoddil



Counterpart Assignment





Nearest neighbour/ proximity matching



Right Ascension / degrees

How to assign the most likely counterparts — or decide if two sources are counterparts or not?



How to assign the most likely counterparts — or decide if two sources are counterparts or not?



How to assign the most likely counterparts — or decide if two sources are counterparts or not?



Probabilistic matching

$$p_{\rm id} = Qr \exp\left(\frac{-r^2}{2}\right) dr.$$

Wolstencroft et al. (1986)

$$= \int p(\boldsymbol{m}|H) \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i(\boldsymbol{x}_i|\boldsymbol{m},H) d^3 \boldsymbol{m}$$

Budavári & Szalay (2008)

de Ruiter, Willis, & Arp (1977)

$$-Q \qquad L = \frac{q(m, c) f(x, y)}{n(m, c)}$$

Sutherland & Saunders (1992)

$$\frac{Xc(m_i) g(\Delta x_i, \Delta y_i)}{Nf(m_i)} - X + \sum_j \frac{Xc(m_j) g(\Delta x_j, \Delta y_j)}{Nf(m_j)}$$

Naylor, Broos, & Feigelson (2013)







How to assign the most likely counterparts — or decide if two sources are counterparts or not?

Probabilistic matching

$$p_{\rm id} = Qr \exp\left(\frac{-r^2}{2}\right) dr.$$

Wolstencroft et al. (1986)

$$= \int p(\boldsymbol{m}|H) \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i(\boldsymbol{x}_i|\boldsymbol{m},H) d^3 \boldsymbol{m}$$

Budavári & Szalay (2008)

de Ruiter, Willis, & Arp (1977)

$$-Q \qquad L = \frac{q(m, c) f(x, y)}{n(m, c)}$$

Sutherland & Saunders (1992)

$$\frac{Xc(m_i) g(\Delta x_i, \Delta y_i)}{Nf(m_i)} - X + \sum_j \frac{Xc(m_j) g(\Delta x_j, \Delta y_j)}{Nf(m_j)}$$

Naylor, Broos, & Feigelson (2013)





One assumption made in all of these works: positional errors of sources are Gaussian!



 $R_i = - - L_j$ $\sum_{i} L_i + (1$

P(i) = -

How to assign the most likely counterparts — or decide if two sources are counterparts or not?

Probabilistic matching

$$dp_{\rm id} = Qr \exp\left(\frac{-r^2}{2}\right) dr.$$

Wolstencroft et al. (1986)

 $p(D|H) = \int p(\boldsymbol{m}|H) \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i(\boldsymbol{x}_i|\boldsymbol{m},H) d^3 \boldsymbol{m}$

Budavári & Szalay (2008)

de Ruiter, Willis, & Arp (1977)

$$L = \frac{q(m, c) f(x, y)}{n(m, c)}$$

 $dp(r|c) = 2\lambda r \times e^{-\lambda r^2} dr$

Sutherland & Saunders (1992)

$$\frac{Xc(m_i) g(\Delta x_i, \Delta y_i)}{Nf(m_i)} - X + \sum_j \frac{Xc(m_j) g(\Delta x_j, \Delta y_j)}{Nf(m_j)}$$

Naylor, Broos, & Feigelson (2013)





Separation Likelihood I

$$g(x_{k}, y_{k}, x_{l}, y_{l}) = \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h_{\gamma}(x_{0} - x_{k}, y_{0} - y_{k})h_{\phi}(x_{l})$$

$$= N_{c} \times (h_{\gamma} * h_{\phi})(\Delta x_{kl}, \Delta y_{kl})$$

$$g(\Delta x, \Delta y, \sigma) \propto (2\pi\sigma^{2})^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta x^{2} + \Delta y^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}\right) \text{ where } \sigma^{2} = \sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2}$$
Convolution

Function

 $(-x_0, y_l - y_0)p(x_0, y_0) dx_0 dy_0$



Separation Likelihood I

$$g(x_{k}, y_{k}, x_{l}, y_{l}) = \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h_{\gamma}(x_{0} - x_{k}, y_{0} - y_{k})h_{\phi}(x_{l})$$

$$= N_{c} \times (h_{\gamma} * h_{\phi})(\Delta x_{kl}, \Delta y_{kl})$$

$$g(\Delta x, \Delta y, \sigma) \propto (2\pi\sigma^{2})^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta x^{2} + \Delta y^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}\right) \text{ where } \sigma^{2} = \sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2}$$
Convolution

Function

 $(-x_0, y_l - y_0)p(x_0, y_0) dx_0 dy_0$



Separation Likelihood I

$$g(x_{k}, y_{k}, x_{l}, y_{l}) = \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h_{\gamma}(x_{0} - x_{k}, y_{0} - y_{k})h_{\phi}(x_{l})$$

$$= N_{c} \times (h_{\gamma} * h_{\phi})(\Delta x_{kl}, \Delta y_{kl})$$

$$g(\Delta x, \Delta y, \sigma) \propto (2\pi\sigma^{2})^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta x^{2} + \Delta y^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}\right) \text{ where } \sigma^{2} = \sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2}$$
Convolution

Function

 $(-x_0, y_l - y_0)p(x_0, y_0) dx_0 dy_0$



Separation Likelihood I

$$g(x_{k}, y_{k}, x_{l}, y_{l}) = \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h_{\gamma}(x_{0} - x_{k}, y_{0} - y_{k})h_{\phi}(x_{l})$$

$$= N_{c} \times (h_{\gamma} * h_{\phi})(\Delta x_{kl}, \Delta y_{kl})$$

$$g(\Delta x, \Delta y, \sigma) \propto (2\pi\sigma^{2})^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta x^{2} + \Delta y^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}\right) \text{ where } \sigma^{2} = \sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2}$$
Convolution

Function

 $(-x_0, y_l - y_0)p(x_0, y_0) dx_0 dy_0$



Additional Components of the AUF



Gaussian AUF Medium latitude Low latitude

Additional Components of the AUF

Gaussian AUF Medium latitude Low latitude

Additional Components of the AUF

Gaussian AUF Medium latitude Low latitude

Pure Gaussian

Offsets

0.8

Radius / arcsecond

0.6

1.0

1.2

Wilson & Naylor (2018b)

The issue: new components of the AUF are not analytic, and numerical convolutions are computationally expensive

$$\iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h_{\gamma}(x_0 - x_k, y_0 - y_k) h_{\phi}(x_l - x_0, y_l - y_0) p(x_0, y_0) \, \mathrm{d}x_0 \, \mathrm{d}y_0$$

The issue: new components of the AUF are not analytic, and numerical convolutions are computationally expensive

$$\iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h_{\gamma}(x_0 - x_k, y_0 - y_k) h_{\phi}(x_l - x_0, y_l - y_0) p(x_0, y_0) \, \mathrm{d}x_0 \, \mathrm{d}y_0$$

The solution: do convolution in Fourier space

$$F(\rho) = \mathcal{F}(f(x))$$
$$(f^*g)(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(F \cdot G)$$

The issue: new components of the AUF are not analytic,The issue: Fourier transforms in twoand numerical convolutions are computationally expensivedimensions are still computationally difficult

$$\iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h_{\gamma}(x_0 - x_k, y_0 - y_k) h_{\phi}(x_l - x_0, y_l - y_0) p(x_0, y_0) \, \mathrm{d}x_0 \, \mathrm{d}y_0$$

The solution: do convolution in Fourier space

$$F(\rho) = \mathcal{F}(f(x))$$
$$(f^*g)(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(F \cdot G)$$

$$\mathscr{F}(g(u,v)) = \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x,y) \exp\left[-j2\pi(ux+vy)\right] \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y$$

The issue: new components of the AUF are not analytic, The issue: Fourier transforms in two dimensions are still computationally difficult and numerical convolutions are computationally expensive

$$\iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h_{\gamma}(x_0 - x_k, y_0 - y_k) h_{\phi}(x_l - x_0, y_l - y_0) p(x_0, y_0) \, \mathrm{d}x_0 \, \mathrm{d}y_0$$

The solution: do convolution in Fourier space

$$F(\rho) = \mathcal{F}(f(x))$$
$$(f^*g)(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(F \cdot G)$$

$$\mathscr{F}(g(u,v)) = \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x,y) \exp\left[-j2\pi(ux+vy)\right] \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y$$

The solution: assume circular symmetry and reduce to one-dimensional Hankel (Fourier-Bessel) transform

$$G(\rho, \phi) = G(\rho) = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} r g(r) J_{0}(2\pi r\rho) dr$$
Introduction to Fourier Optics - J.W.

Tom J Wilson @onoddil

Goodman

Counterpart Likelihoods In Practice

3) Fourier transform distribution of perturbations 4) Convolution becomes 1-D multiplication and 1-D inverse Fourier transform

(Replace step 2 with your chosen extra sources of reasons why a source isn't measured at its "true" location!)

1) Gaussian, centroiding component of AUF has analytic Fourier-space expression 2) Simulate many PSFs, derive sample of perturbations due to blended sources

Advantages:

- Allows for the generalisation of the AUF
- Non-centroid AUF components crucial for crowded or faint fields
- Hankel Transform speeds up 2-D calculation

he AUF Icial for

Disadvantages:

- Numerical precision needs sufficient integral resolution
- Requires all components of the AUF to be circularly symmetric

Including Unknown Proper Motions

Using a model for the distribution of potential 100 proper motions, and hence astrometric drifts, of a source of a given sky position and brightness we can include "fast forwarding" of sources 75Counts through time across different catalogues when individual proper motions are not known 502010 25-0.50.0 / arcsecond @ 10years Because this function works in *separation*, rather than pure 2025 (or so) *position*, space, we apply the distribution after the convolution to calculate G, but the same basic numerical framework applies. (Replace, or add, your devia from "true" position here Wilson & Naylor (in prep.) Gaia eDR3 - Gaia Collaboration, Brown A. G. A., et al. (2021)

$$G' = G * h'_{\text{pm}} \quad G = h_{\gamma} * h_{\phi}$$

$$(h_{\gamma} = h_{\gamma,\text{centroiding}} * h_{\gamma,\text{perturbation}}$$
Tom J Wilson (

Conclusions

- PDF describing positions of sources measured in photometric catalogues assumed Gaussian
- However, other components of the AUF are non-Gaussian will be crucial for LSST (as with WISE)
- These might not be analytic, and hence require numerical methods to derive; simplifying assumption of circular symmetry enables convolutions to be performed in Fourier space with reasonable computation
- Models for contributions to offsets between sources for crowded field blending perturbations, and unknown proper motions — but mathematical framework flexible to all unknown kinds of separations
- Upcoming LSST:UK cross-match service macauff

Wilson & Naylor, 2017, MNRAS, 468, 2517 Wilson & Naylor, 2018a, MNRAS, 473, 5570 Wilson & Naylor, 2018b, MNRAS, 481, 2148

https://github.com/Onoddil/macauff

